EDITOR'S NOTE:

THE FOLLOWING PAGES WERE POOR HARD COPY AT THE TIME OF FILMING. IF AND WHEN A BETTER COPY CAN BE OBTAINED, A NEW FICHE WILL BE ISSUED

Supreme Court, U.S.
F I L E D
SEP 27 1989
JOSEPH F. SPANIOL
CLERK

No. 88-2141

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1988

HARVEY H. TODA,

Petitioner,

vs.

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU,

Respondent.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

To the United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

HARVEY H. TODA, pro se
1363 Hoowali Street
Pearl City, HI. 96782
Phone:(808) 456-1328

Considerations Governing Review on Certiorari: Under Rule 17 .1 indicates "there are special and important reasons" and the following statement is entered.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

No.	Item	Page
1.	Review of Supplemental Brief	i
2.	Table of Contents	ii
3.	Additional Jurisdiction	1
4.	Argument and Conclusion	2
5.	Certificate of service	3
6.	Proof of Service	4, 5

CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION:

Additionally, it is hoped that the CONSPIRACY OF TORT, is subjected for answering every case need, as it applies when TAXES are billed and paid for.

That, Constitutional needs must be met and though sub-allegations are also Constitutionally met, it is not a part of the SUIT.

That, CONSPIRACY OF TORT, is the TAXES which are billed for and paid, violating the Constitution, if not reimbursed, compromised, and is known by the Respondent.

SIGNING OF PAPERS: Respondent had requested to sign-off papers not subject to the SUIT.

Thus, Criminal CONSPIRACY, Procedural due process or change of zoning was not applied for, also for infringement of Contracts, nor for ex post facto violations, for the Civil Rights Act violations, Family Intrusion acts, Notifications, and no SUITS by the corporation to have two branches of government into the corporation, and in part "if" the cases were "true" would I sign this paper, and yes, it was signed.

It was irrelevant to say that a summary judgment existed, when the above items are to show a Constitutional cause existed.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, September 7, 1989.

Harvey T. Toda, pro se
for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Harvey H. Toda, provides 3 copies of this report under 88-2141, a supplementary brief for the Writ of Certiorari, through the Sheriff's Office of the State of Hawaii, to:

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU
Richard D. Wurdeman
Corporation Counsel
Maria C. Avinante-Tanaka
Deputy Corporation Counsel
530 S. King & Punchbowl Sts.
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Phone: (808) 523-4704

Attorneys for Respondent

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii,

September 7, 1989.

Harvey H. Toda pro se
for Petitioner

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1988

No. 88-2141

HARVEY M. TODA,

Petitioner,

V.

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU,

Respondent.

PROOF OF SERVICE

State of Hawaii)
) SS.
City and County of Honolulu)

Affiant, Norman K. Mau Kee, after being duly sworn, disposes and says that pursuant to Court rules the SHERIFF'S OFFICER of the State of Hawaii, served the Supplemental Brief, to:

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU
Richard D. Wurdeman
Corporation Counsel
Maria C. Avinante-Tanaka
Deputy Corporation Counsel
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Attorneys for Respondent

Serviced by: NORMAN K. MAUNAKEA , Deputy Sheriff

Sheriff Officer Norman K. Maunakea

Date 9-7-89

Received by

Date 9/7/89

Position Deputy Corporation Counse

Norman K. Maunakea

NORMAN K. MAUNAKEA, DEPUTY SHERIFF

Subscribed and sworn to before Me
this 8 day of September, 1989.

Notary Public
City and County of Honolulu
State of Hawaii

My Commission expires on: 12-8-92

AFFIDAVIT OF PROOF OF SERVICE
BY OUT OF STATE DEPUTY SHERIFF

STATE: IN THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, D.C.

COURT: U.S. SUPREME COURT

CAPTION: HARVEY H. TODA, pro se

Plaintiff

VS.

CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU

Respondent

CASE NO. 88-2141

STATE OF HAWAII)
) ss.
CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU)

The undersigned, being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and says that he/she is a duly authorized and qualified DEPUTY SHERIFF OF THE STATE OF HAWAII, authorized by laws to serve legal process, a citizen of the United States, resident of the State of Hawaii and over the age of eighteen years, not a party to nor interested in the entitled action.

DOCUMENTS SERVED: Supplemental Brief

The above listed documents were personally served upon the Defendant/Respondent, the CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU, STATE OF HAWAII, on the date, time and place as set forth below:

NAME	DATE/TIME SERVED	PLACE OF SERVICE
CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU	9/7/89 1:15PM	[illegible]
thru JONATHAN J. CIRIN,		530 S. King St., Honolulu, HI. [illegible]
Deputy Corporation Counsel		

DATED: HONOLULU, HAWAII

DEPUTY SHERIFF, STATE OF HAWAII
NORMAN E. MAUI KEE

Subscribed and sworn to before me this
day of , 19

NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF HAWAII

My Commission Expires: